## APPENDIX A APPENDIX A ON THE LIFESPAN OF ECOLOGICAL REPORTS & SURVEYS

**APRIL 2019** 

It is important that planning decisions are based on up-to-date ecological reports and survey data. However, it is difficult to set a specific timeframe over which reports or survey data should be considered valid, as this will vary in different circumstances. In some cases there will be specific guidance on this (such as for the age of data which may be used to support an EPS licence application). In circumstances where such advice does not already exist, CIEEM provides the general advice set out below.

For some projects the time taken between commencing the scoping or design and submitting a planning application can be several years, and this can result in the early ecology surveys becoming out-of-date (based on the advice set out below); this can lead to additional costs for developers associated with updating survey data. Nevertheless, there are considerable advantages associated with undertaking surveys early during the scoping or design phases of a project.

Ecological consultants should give careful consideration to which, if any, surveys need to be updated; design their data collection in a way which maximises the benefits of early surveys whilst minimising the costs to developers; and provide clarity on the likely lifespan of surveys in their reports.

AGE OF DATA	REPORT / SURVEY VALIDITY
Less than 12 months	Likely to be valid in most cases.
12-18 months	<ul> <li>Likely to be valid in most cases with the following exceptions:</li> <li>Where a site may offer existing or new features which could be utilised by a mobile species within a short timeframe (see scenario 1 example);</li> <li>Where a mobile species is present on site or in the wider area, and can create new features of relevance to the assessment (see scenario 2 example);</li> <li>Where country-specific or species-specific guidance dictates otherwise.</li> <li>Report authors should highlight where they consider it likely to be necessary to update surveys within a timeframe of less than 18 months.</li> </ul>
18 months to 3 years	<ul> <li>A professional ecologist will need to undertake a site visit and may also need to update desk study information (effectively updating the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal) and then review the validity of the report, based on the factors listed below. Some or all of the other ecological surveys may need to be updated. The professional ecologist will need to issue a clear statement, with appropriate justification, on:</li> <li>The validity of the report;</li> <li>Which, if any, of the surveys need to be updated; and</li> <li>The appropriate scope, timing and methods for the update survey(s).</li> </ul>
	The likelihood of surveys needing to be updated increases with time, and is greater for mobile species or in circumstances where the habitat or its management has changed significantly since the surveys were undertaken. Factors to be considered include (but around timited to):
	<ul> <li>Whether the site supports, or may support, a mobile species which could have move on to site, or changed its distribution within a site (see scenario 1&amp;2 examples);</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Whether there have been significant changes to the habitats present (and/or the ecological conditions/functions/ecosystem functioning upon which they are dependent) since the surveys were undertaken, including through changes to site management (see scenario 3 example);</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Whether the local distribution of a species in the wider area around a site has changed (or knowledge of it increased), increasing the likelihood of its presence (see scenario 4 example).</li> </ul>
More than 3 years	The report is unlikely to still be valid and most, if not all, of the surveys are likely to nee to be updated (subject to an assessment by a professional ecologist, as described above)





Chartered Institute of CIEEM Banagement

**43 Southgate Street** Winchester, Hampshire SO23 9EH

t: 01962 868626 e: enquiries@cieem.net www.cieem.net

# EXAMPLE **SCENARIOS**

Hydrographic Monitoring Drogue, Dye and Dye Drogue Portmarnock and Skerries – undertaken on behalf of FCC in 2012 Portmarnock - undertaken on behalf of Irish Water in 2015

Hydrographic Monitoring – Drogue, Dye and Dye drogue

Drogue run over by boat Replace - No GPS

	nyuruş	FCC	Womtoning - Drogue, Dye and Dye are	Irish Water	
	Year	2012		2015	
		Dye a	nd Drogue	Dye and Drogue	
	Date	12 <sup>th</sup> July to 23 <sup>rd</sup> August		20 <sup>th</sup> April to 19 <sup>th</sup> June	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	26 <sup>th</sup> July Neap tide Portmarnock (C)		20 <sup>th</sup> April Spring tide	
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	27 <sup>TH</sup> July Neap tide Skerries (A) <sup>1d</sup> 18 <sup>th</sup> August Spring tide Portmarnock (C) 19 <sup>th</sup> August Spring tide Skerries (A)		9 <sup>th</sup> June Neap tide	
	Wind	speed	Hand held anemometer And compass	Same	
	Dye		Diluted methanol and Distilled water	Same	
	5 litres	S	Neap tide	High tide Mid Ebb and Low Water Mid Flood	
	10 litre	es	Spring	n/a	
	Releas	ses	8	8	
	1 meti	netre below surface		Same	
	Individual transects - Neap			Individual transects	
	Continuous - Spring				
	Drogu	Drogue 3 No. 1 hour + 1 single sub surface		?	
	2012				
			ue Results		
	Portmarnock		25/07/2012	Skerries	
	Date		26/07/2012 NEAP	27/07/2012 NEAP	
	Tide Ebb fle			7.1km S	
			5.2km S/SW		
	4 hour drift			n/a End un wort Lambay	
		End up off south Howth Head Flood tide 7.5km N/NW		End up west Lambay Flood tide 5.9km N/NW	
				End up N/E Skerries	
End up SW Lambay			швау	Terminated due to sea condition	
	Date	18/08	8/2012	19/08/2012	
	-				

APPENDIX AZ

TideSPRING(flood?)6km N31/2 hour driftEnd up South LambayTide7km SEnd up SE Howth Head21/2 hour driftTerminated – shipping zone

### 2012

FCC Drogue Study Portmarnock 10 releases 26/07/2012 NEAP NE/SW axis Max speed – Flood Over 3km – 58 mins

Portmarnock 11 releases 18/08/2012 SPRING NE/SW axis Max speed – Ebb Under 3 km – 55 mins Off bottom drogue dragged by boat on Ebb tide

Dye Study Portmarnock 26/07/2012 NEAP 7am – 11am Ebb Southerly direction 12.10 – 16.45 Flood Northerly direction

Portmarnock 18/08/2012 SPRING 8.13- 10.00 Flood Northerly direction 13.32 – Southerly direction Terminated due to shipping lane SPRING Flood tide 8.5km N n/a End up NE Skerries Ebb tide 4.2km S n/a 2 hours Terminated early deteriorating weather

Skerries 11 releases 27/07/2012 NEAP N/S axis Max speed - EBB Over 2km - 54 mins

Skerries 9 releases 19/08/2012 SPRING N/S axis Max speed - Ebb

Terminated due to wind speed

Skerries 27/07/2012 NEAP 7.15 – 9.25 Ebb Southerly direction 13.10 – 15.46 Flood Northerly direction Terminated due to sea conditions

Skerries 19/08/2012 SPRING 8.18 – 10.30 Flood Southerly direction ?? 13.47 - ? Ebb Southerly direction?? Terminated die to weather

2015 Portmarnock High water Mid Ebb Low Water Mid Flood

20 April 2015 SPRING Drogue SE Flood SE Ebb Flooding tide – Ireland's Eye west Ebb tide turbulent Ireland's Eye north shore Mid water drogue GPS error Haven't put dye out at low tide 8 drogues Not full tidal cycle

#### Dye Release 9.13

- 1. 2 hour period? SW SE. Where did it end up? Low water or F??
- 2. 11.24 SE SE/NW 1 hour to Ireland's Eye. NW Rocks Strong Mid Flood
- 3. 14.18 SE 4.4km 2 hours. Where did it end up? High water
- 4. 16.30 SE. Turbulent at Ireland's Eye. North. Dispersed. Mid Ebb

Dye Drogue Sub surface drogue Disappeared after 11.30 Release – run over How many missed cycles?

9 June 2015 Portmarnock NEAP High Water Mid Ebb Low Water Mid Flood

### DROGUE

SE on approach of Low Water Reversed before LW and went NW even though Low Tide was not reached Then from North to SE over flooding cycle Very vague

## DYE

- 1. 7.30 SE 1.7km to NE Ireland's Eye Turbulent area
- 2. 9.15 SE reversed NW. Where did it end up?
- 3. 12.00 NW 1.3km 2hours Where did it end up?
- 4. 14.40 SW E 1.8km 2 hours Ireland's Eye. Where did it end up?

## Dye Drogue

No. 1 of dye release mentions the dye drogue. Then no mention after that.